

Regulating Blockchain-Enabled Green Finance: A Comparative Analysis of Emerging vs. Developed Markets

Ayesha Munir^{1*}, Aisha Barrow²

¹Faculty of Economics and Business, Fakultas, Universitas Islam Internasional Indonesia

²Faculty of Business and Public Administration, University of Gambia

*Corresponding author: ayeshamunir.ayeshamunir@uiii.ac.id

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received [20 January 2025]

Revised [1 February 2025]

Accepted [18 February 2025]

Published [28 February 2025]

KEYWORDS

Blockchain-Regulation; Emerging Markets; Green Finance

This work is licensed under a:



ABSTRACT

The potential for blockchain technology to revolutionize green finance is its capacity for enhanced transparency, efficiency, and accountability for sustainable investments. However, the adoption is hindered by the heterogeneity of regulatory frameworks for various economies. This paper undertakes comparative analysis of regulations around blockchain-facilitated green finance for emerging and developed economies. It examines the main regulatory hurdles, differences in the structure of policies, and implications for the mandates for complying with blockchain-led sustainable finance activities. Based on the analysis through the lens of European Union, United States, Indonesia, Pakistan, and UAE, this study sketches best practice and hurdles for the adoption of blockchain for the adoption of green finance. Additionally, the study reflects upon the impact of the government and financial institutions towards the adoption of blockchain for the cases of ESG investments, green bonds, and the carbon market. Global harmonization and suggestive policies for resolving regulatory disparities are given in relation to adoption of blockchain for sustainable finance.

Introduction

For financial systems to be responsive to ESG criteria, they have become imperative to conform to the such of a sustainable global economy. The green finance investment for renewable energy, carbon neutrality or climate resilience has been transformed into a pivotal catalyst for this [1,2]. But issues, including lack of transparency, accountability, and trust among the stakeholders are still persisting for green finance as it is becoming significant.

The blockchain technology brings a major advancement by providing a decentralized, immutable, and transparent framework to monitor the financial transactions [3]. Transformative instruments for the credibility and efficiency of the sustainable investment are promoted as tokenized carbon credits, blockchain verified green bonds, and decentralized ESG reporting system [4,25]. Yet actualising this potential is in a very important sense therefore dependent upon having a supportive set of regulatory rules which reconciles innovation with investor protection and financial stability.

While several studies have emphasized blockchain's technical benefits in green finance, less focus has been directed towards the impact of regulatory disparities between developed and emerging markets on its implementation. Regulatory fragmentation, characterized by inconsistent compliance requirements, varying investor protection standards, and differing institutional preparedness, engenders uncertainty, deters cross-border transactions, and hampers blockchain implementation in green finance [8].

The current literature predominantly emphasizes blockchain's technological applications or broad difficulties in green finance, without a comprehensive examination of how regulatory frameworks in various economic contexts influence blockchain's scalability. It is essential to comprehend the reasons for the divergence in regulatory approaches between advanced and emerging economies and to identify lessons that can promote worldwide regulatory harmonization for blockchain in sustainable finance.

This paper provides a comparative regulatory analysis of blockchain-enabled green financing in established economies (European Union and United States) and emerging economies (Indonesia, Pakistan, and United Arab Emirates). This research investigates how regulatory frameworks facilitate or hinder the use of blockchain technology in green finance, contrasting with prior studies that address green finance concerns or blockchain breakthroughs separately. It offers insights into optimal practices, detects deficiencies, and recommends legislative remedies designed to reconcile innovation with regulatory protections.

This study addresses the following questions:

1. What are the major regulatory barriers hindering blockchain adoption in green finance?
2. How do regulatory frameworks for blockchain-enabled green finance differ between developed and emerging economies?
3. What best practices and insights can be drawn from these comparative regulatory experiences to support the harmonization of global blockchain regulations?

The objectives of this research are:

- a. To examine the regulatory challenges associated with implementing blockchain in green finance.
- b. To analyze and compare the regulatory frameworks governing blockchain-led green finance initiatives in selected developed and emerging economies.
- c. To offer policy recommendations for fostering regulatory standardization and supporting blockchain integration into global green finance markets.

Literature Review

1. Green Finance: Key Concepts and Challenges

Green finance refers to financial activities aimed towards the enhancement of environmental sustainability by investment in infrastructure that is climatic resilience, clean energy projects, energy efficiency projects, and environment sustainably friendly industries [1]. This concept has emerged due to the global climatic crisis, where the financial sector has identified its contribution towards the transition towards the low-carbon economy. Major international bodies and institutions like the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the World Bank have supported the concept of the concept of green finance as the essential tool for solving the climatic changes and achievement of the sustainably inclusive development aims [8].

The foremost objective of green finance is the convergence of financial structures with climatic objectives, ensuring capital is deployed towards projects that promote the conservation of the environment and sustainability. This is achieved through the contribution by financial

institutions by incorporating Environment, Society, and Government (ESG) considerations into their investment processes [9]. However, regardless of its potential, the progress of green finance is hindered by regulatory hurdles, lack of transparency, and the challenge of greenwashing, all contributing towards loss of investors' trust and the efficient allocation of resources.

Financial instruments: Green bonds, ESG investments, carbon markets:

The rapid growth of green finance has led to the establishment of various financial tools through which investment can be made sustainably. The most common tools utilized under the domain of green finance include:

i) Green Bonds: These bonds are issued for the purpose of raising capital for projects providing environmental benefits, like projects for the use of renewable energy and energy-efficient buildings. Issuance of green bonds has increased substantially as they strongly attract institutional investors searching for investments for the environment [10]. However, concerns about greenwashing whereby organizations can make misleading claims about the environment for their projects pose significant hurdles for the credibility of the market for green bonds [11].

ii) ESG Investments: ESG-aligned investment funds evaluate companies based on their environmental performance, social responsibility, and governance practices. Institutional investors, including pension funds and asset managers, increasingly consider ESG factors in their portfolio selection process [12]. However, the lack of standardized ESG reporting frameworks has led to inconsistencies in ESG ratings, making it difficult for investors to assess the actual sustainability impact of their investments [13,14].

iii) Carbon Market: Mechanisms for the exchange of carbon allow business players to buy and sell carbon credits for the purpose of offsetting their greenhouse gas emissions. These markets support the global transition towards net-zero emissions [15,16]. However, the market for the exchange of carbon credits is marred by double counting, fraud, and regulatory loopholes, all together undermining their effectiveness as tools for emission mitigation [17].

Regulatory challenges: Transparency, greenwashing, enforcement mechanisms.

Notwithstanding the rise in green financing, regulatory hurdles remain the main challenge for its fruitful execution. Transparency concerns, the risk of greenwashing, and the lack of enforcement tools cause concerns for investors and policymakers alike [40].

i) Transparency Issues: Investors require reliable, similar, and verifiable data for making sound investment decisions. However, the green financial market is marred by irregular disclosure habits and the lack of normalized reporting about sustainability [18]. This creates uncertainty for investors and increases the risk of misuse of funds.

ii) Greenwashing Risks: Many companies have been accused of exaggerating or fabricating their sustainability credentials to attract green finance investments. This practice misleads investors and undermines the credibility of green finance instruments [19].

iii) Enforcement Mechanisms: Regulatory authorities in both developed and emerging markets struggle with enforcing green finance regulations. While developed economies have

implemented stringent reporting requirements, emerging markets often lack the institutional capacity to enforce sustainability disclosures [20].

2. Blockchain in Finance: Regulatory Perspectives

Blockchain technology is a tamper-resistant and decentralized ledger infrastructure that is accurately recording transactions securely and transparently [21]. Initially designed for cryptocurrencies, the tech has now branched into various financial applications, including tokenized assets, DeFi, and smart contracts [22]. DeFi does away with traditional intermediaries for the financial sector, providing the ability for parties to make financial transactions directly and also promote greater transparency. Integrating the tech into the field of green financing can make the process efficient, reduce fraud risk, and make the compliancy processes easier [24,25].

Blockchain technology has transformative potential in green finance, offering innovative solutions to enhance transparency, efficiency, and trust in sustainable investments. One of its key applications is smart contracts for green bonds, where blockchain-powered smart contracts automate the issuance and compliance tracking of green bonds, ensuring that funds are used strictly for sustainable projects [25,26]. Another crucial application is tokenized carbon credits, which allow for fraud-resistant and real-time trading of carbon credits, reducing inefficiencies in traditional carbon markets [27]. Moreover, decentralized reporting of the ESG is an important advancement to sustainability disclosures since blockchain base ESG reporting systems deliver tamper proof sustainability disclosures which enhance the investor's trust [28]. Taken together, these applications show the ways by which blockchain technology can assist in regulatory compliance, cut off fraud, and boost the credibility of the green finance instruments.

Although green finance is one of blockchain's integration targets, it suffers legal status, compliance and taxation, and investor protection challenges in the process. The legal status of blockchain based financial products is one of the main concerns as most of countries are not clear about their regulatory framework about them [29]. In the other hand, compliance and taxation can be involve the seriously issues because governments are finding it difficult to control cross border blockchain transactions and financial institutions and investors were faced with the regulation issues. Additionally, the protection of investors is still an important issue as the decentralized nature of blockchain makes it hard to protect investors from fraud and financial mismanagement [5]. These regulatory concerns must be addressed in order to have the integrity of blockchain lying in green finance while safeguarding the financial stability and confidence of investors.

2.3 Comparative Regulatory Approaches to Blockchain in Green Finance

The EU and the US, being developed economies, have very good regulatory frameworks, relating to blockchain, for both green financing and blockchain. Blockchain based financial instruments have to comply with strict compliance standards under the EU's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and Markets in Crypto-Assets Regulation (MiCA) [32]. However, the compliance costs of blockchain are high [33].

The introduction of regulatory sandboxes allowing for adoption of blockchain technology for green finance was introduced in emerging economies, including the United Arab Emirates,

Pakistan, Indonesia, among others [9]. But we have threatened the weakness of the enforcement structures of financial fraud and regulatory arbitrage [34]. However, developed economies focus on stringent compliance and the protection of investors in the finance, which results in financial products derived from the use of blockchain following strict regulatory structures aimed at providing a higher level of transparency and market stability[41].

However, the emerging markets are more focused on flexibility, which allows the experimentation and creativity of the use of the blockchain technology for green finance project development. On the other hand, these markets rarely have enforcement processes, which mean that there are regulatory loopholes that investors' risk exposure can take advantage of. At the same time, the adoption of green finance promoted by blockchain seems to be widespread, although it is not perfectly balanced, and the need for international regulatory convergence towards the formation of a level playing field for the promotion of creativity and financial security of various jurisdictions [35].

Method

1. Research Design and Approach

Qualitative comparative methodology is used by this study to study the legislative framework on blockchain enabled green financing in established and emerging economies. To study how regulatory frameworks in both encouraging or hindering developing a blockchain for sustainable finance, a comparative case study design is used. The intricacy of the legal framework and the dynamic nature of the blockchain technology is a reason why the qualitative analysis is suitable for explaining the subtle institutional variations [36].

2. Case Selection and Rationale

The selection of case studies, European Union, United States, United Arab Emirates, Indonesia, and Pakistan was relied on three criteria:

Criteria	Description	Countries Selected
1. Blockchain and Green Finance Adoption	Evidence of either blockchain policy initiatives or green finance projects involving blockchain applications.	EU, US, UAE, Indonesia, Pakistan
2. Regulatory Maturity	Developed economies with mature regulatory systems versus emerging economies with flexible or evolving frameworks.	EU and US (developed); UAE, Indonesia, Pakistan (emerging)
3. Data Availability	Accessibility to secondary data sources such as regulatory reports, academic studies, and policy documents.	All selected countries

This varied collection guarantees a comprehensive comparative investigation of distinct regulatory frameworks in the realm of green finance innovation.

3. Data Collection Sources

Data is sourced from various credible secondary sources, including

- i. Regulatory reports from organizations such as the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA), the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK) Indonesia, and the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP).
- ii. Policy documents and white papers from international entities such as the IMF, World Bank, and OECD.
- iii. Scholarly journals that are peer-reviewed, concentrating on blockchain technology, sustainable finance, and regulatory science.

To augment trustworthiness, triangulation is utilized by cross-referencing information from multiple sources.

4. Data Analysis and Interpretation

The data analysis employs a thematic coding methodology. Following the examination of papers and reports, persistent regulatory themes were discerned, classified, and examined comparably across jurisdictions.

Example of thematic coding

Theme 1: Regulatory Stringency (e.g., obligatory ESG disclosures in the EU versus sandbox experimentation in the UAE).

Theme 2: Investor Protection Mechanisms (e.g., SEC securities regulation against Pakistan's sandbox adaptability).

Theme 3: Institutional Readiness (e.g., the capability of financial authorities to supervise blockchain initiatives).

The investigation seeks to reveal patterns, discrepancies, and commonalities in regulatory policies that affect blockchain's function in green finance.

5. Ethical Considerations

This study exclusively utilizes secondary data sources; hence no human volunteers are engaged. Ethical research conduct is guaranteed by accurate citation of all utilized materials, reducing bias through cross-verification, and upholding transparency in data interpretation.

6. Limitations

Although secondary data carries comprehensive insights, but it is not updated with recent swift regulatory changes or informal policy developments. Furthermore, the predominant focus of case selection is on a limited number of jurisdictions limiting the representation of the global variety of blockchain regulatory policies.

Results

1. Key Regulatory Barriers in Blockchain-Enabled Green Finance

Regulators in emerging and developed countries present numerous obstacles to the implementation of blockchain technology in green finance. The primary obstacles recognized encompass:

Regulatory Ambiguity: Blockchain based green finance instruments with US Energy Scheme Securities (tokenized carbon credits) and blockchain verified ESG disclosure (Blockchain verified ESG Disclosure), as well as others are difficult to distinguish and there are inconsistencies in jurisdictions [8].

Compliance and Cost Challenge: The developed economies have strict compliance rules that force the blockchain based green finance firms to increase their operations expenses [5, 6].

Regulatory Arbitrage Risks: Regulatory arbitrage is a risk of regulatory arbitrage where regulating companies are able to take advantage of the gaps in the regulatory framework of these economies.

2. Comparative Analysis of Regulatory Frameworks

The regulatory strategy in the countries of the case study vendor are very different. The subsequent table delineates the principal distinctions:

Aspect	European Union	United States	United Arab Emirates	Indonesia	Pakistan
Regulatory Maturity	High (SFDR, MiCA)	High (SEC-focused regulation)	Medium-High (Dubai Blockchain Strategy)	Low-Medium (Sandbox approach)	Low-Medium (Sandbox experiments)
Compliance Focus	ESG transparency, financial stability	Investor protection, anti-fraud	Innovation encouragement + compliance	Experimental innovation	Experimental innovation
Blockchain-Specific Policies	Integrated into green finance laws	Classified under securities law	Strategic blockchain adoption for sustainability	No specific green blockchain policy yet	Recognized in carbon trading initiatives
Investor Protection	Strong	Strong	Moderate	Weak	Weak

Aspect	European Union	United States	United Arab Emirates	Indonesia	Pakistan
Regulatory Innovation Tools	Limited (strict compliance)	Limited (strict compliance)	Regulatory sandboxes and innovation hubs	Sandbox with regulatory flexibility	Sandbox under pilot schemes

3. Country Case Study Highlights

European Union: European Union has adopted the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) and Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA) to bring one of its blockchain schemes into its comprehensive sustainable finance regulatory framework. It is focused on transparency, consistent ESG disclosure, and strict regulation of tokenized assets.

United States: Investor protection is stressed by the United States. Green financial products based on blockchain are securities and are regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) very strictly. This creates a climate to foster trust but inhibits the innovative spirit due to regulators.

UAE: The Dubai Blockchain Strategy and tokenized carbon credit exchanges are a practice of a proactive UAE such as the United Arab Emirates. In order to promote innovation and market stability, the Central Bank sets out blockchain rules.

Indonesia: The Financial Services Authority (OJK) of Indonesia supports the experiment with blockchain through regulatory sandboxes, but has no comprehensive frame for connecting blockchain technology with green finance. Thus, green blockchain initiatives are faced with ambiguity, which hinders the widespread institutional engagement.

Pakistan: The Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) has been indicated as seen ready and open to investigate blockchain applications, especially in pilot programs for carbon credits trading. Like Indonesia, Pakistan has no defined regulatory framework, which means that when it comes to compliance requirements there is ambiguity and involvement of an institution is minimal.

Discussion

The analysis of comparison shows that regulatory maturity has a very scaling impact to yet adopt blockchain in green finance. Yet for developed economies, like Finland, compliance, transparency and protecting investor are the priorities, despite putting up more hurdles for innovation. Market stability is achieved through their rigorous rules, but at the expense of small participants being able to participate in green finance initiatives. The regulatory flexibility is more in emerging economies leading to innovation. But they lack adequate

enforcement over mechanisms and expose themselves to higher risks of greenwashing, fraud and mismanagement of funds.

The UAE illustrates a viable way forward of blended the rules of law within either with pragmatic surveillance illustrating the advances that emerging economies can take to embrace blockchain in developing sustainable finance. These results suggest that, to promote cross border blockchain projects in green finance, it is essential to reconcile flexibility with essential compliance standards across the boundaries. International organisations can facilitate regulatory convergence, which would help to increase blockchain's scalability for environmental and social effect while preserving market integrity.

Conclusion

The focus of this study was on the regulatory framework of blockchain enabled green finance in developed and emerging economies. The findings of the study included that blockchain technology can bring gamut of advantages to improve the transparency, efficiency and accountability in the field of sustainable finance; however, regulatory fragmentation is a major bottleneck to utilize this technology widely.

While economies of the developed world have espoused stringent regulatory standards that essentially trade security in favour of protecting investor and ensure transparency, these are seen to lock innovation in the jaws of high compliance burdens. Unlike these, emerging economies like the United Arab Emirates, Indonesia, and Pakistan have more flexible regulatory environments that promote innovation and yet greater risks for the stakeholders on account of the weaker enforcement mechanisms. The UAE's approach is balanced in that it offers the potential for regulatory innovation and oversight to promote the integration of blockchain into a sustainable ecosystem. The findings underscore the need for global policy harmonization for blockchain to be able to contribute to the advancement of the movement of sustainable finance on a global scale.

1. Policy Recommendations

Encourage for Global Regulatory Harmonization: International institutions such as IMF, World Bank and Financial Stability Board should promulgate the initiatives for common regulatory norms for blockchain applications in green finance. Standardized legislation can reduce investors' uncertainty and promote cross-border flows of sustainable investment flows.

Promote Blockchain Adoption for Sustainability: Government should incentivize the financial firms to integrate blockchain technology in green finance activities such as ESG verification, green bond issuance and carbon credit trading with tax incentives, subsidies or expedited regulatory process.

Strengthen Investor Protection Mechanisms: The regulatory bodies must have cybersecurity protocols, smart audit of the contracts involved smart contract, and have a transparent norms of governance over the green financial instruments which are based on blockchain.

Regulate regulatory sandboxes for sustainable innovation: Emerging markets should broaden the use of regulatory sandboxes to test blockchain technologies in regulated environments as well as to create environments to transfer the successful pilot programs into comprehensive regulatory frameworks.

Establish Cross Border Collaborative for Blockchain Enabled Green Finance: These should include bilateral and multilateral agreements to conduct cross border verification of ESG assertions, carbon credit registries and the blockchain driven green finance projects for enhanced global market integration.

Regulate Standardization of ESG Reporting through Blockchain: An objective option to halt greenwashing and assure uniformity of green assertions across geographies should be for regulatory bodies to demand that ESG disclosure standards be blockchain compatible, rendering sustainability views verifiable, immutable and similar across borders.

2. Future Research Directions

Empirical evidences of how effective blockchain driven green finance projects are should be assessed in the future in terms of transaction cost reduction, ESG transparency improvement, and increased investor trust. In addition, the possibility of exploring the study of the synergy of blockchain and emerging technology such as artificial intelligence (AI) in the automated compliance monitoring of ESG might provide value. Going further in the comparative research over financial applications like in sustainable supply chain or intelligent energy grid can better disclose blockchain's extensive potential environmental.

3. Final Reflection

Although blockchain technology has potential that can be transformative for sustainable finance, it generically needs regulatory adjustment before realising its advantages. Authorities can promote innovation while keeping the market stable and protecting investors to help the integration of blockchain into a fundamental part of the global green finance framework.

Rereferences

- [1] OECD. (2020). Green Finance and Investment: Scaling up Sustainable Investments. Retrieved from <https://oecd.org>.
- [2] Tao, H., Zhuang, S., Xue, R., Cao, W., Tian, J., & Shan, Y. (2022). Environmental finance: An interdisciplinary review. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 179, 121639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121639>

- [3] Rani, P., Sharma, P., & Gupta, I. (2024). Toward a greener future: A survey on sustainable blockchain applications and impact. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 354, 120273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.120273>
- [4] Cai, T., & Hong, Z. (2024). Exploring the structure of the digital economy through blockchain technology and mitigating adverse environmental effects with the aid of artificial neural networks. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 12, 1315812. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1315812>
- [5] European Commission. (2021). The European Green Deal and Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation. Retrieved from <https://ec.europa.eu>.
- [6] SEC. (2022). SEC Approach to Blockchain and Digital Asset Regulation. Retrieved from <https://sec.gov>.
- [7] Irfan, M., Kadry, S., Sharif, M., & Khan, H. U. (Eds.). (2023). *Fintech Applications in Islamic Finance: AI, Machine Learning, and Blockchain Techniques: AI, Machine Learning, and Blockchain Techniques*. IGI Global.
- [8] World Bank. (2022). The Role of Regulation in Blockchain-Based Green Finance. Retrieved from <https://worldbank.org>.
- [9] Mishra, Mukesh Kumar (2024): Accelerating green finance for a sustainable future, ResearchGate, Berlin, <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.32497.70248>
- [10] World Economic Forum. (2024, November 22). What are green bonds and how can they help the climate? *World Economic Forum*.
<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/11/what-are-green-bonds-climate-change/>
- [11] Ojukwu, P. U., Cadet, E., Osundare, O. S., Fakeyede, O. G., Ige, A. B., & Uzoka, A. (2024). Advancing green bonds through FinTech innovations: A conceptual insight into opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development*, 20, 565-576.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386453889_Advancing_Green_Bonds_through_FinTech_Innovations_A_Conceptual_Insight_into_Opportunities_and_Challenges
- [12] Sirisha, G. L., Sukumar, S., Iyer, A., & Nakitende, M. G. (2024). Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Investing: Evolution, Significance, Challenges, and Impacts. In *Modern Concepts and Practices of Climate Finance* (pp. 45-66). IGI Global.
DOI:10.4018/979-8-3693-2117-1.ch002
- [13] Fraser Institute. (n.d.). The impracticality of standardizing ESG reporting. *Fraser Institute*. <https://www.fraserinstitute.org/sites/default/files/ESG-myths-realities-impracticality-of-standardizing-ESG-reporting.pdf>
- [14] Tabur, M., & Bildik, R. (2025). The impact of ESG rating disagreement on the financial performance of environmentally sensitive industry companies worldwide. *Borsa Istanbul Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2025.01.013>

- [15] Verma, M. (2023). Navigating the World of Carbon Credits: Strategies for Emissions Reduction and Market Participation. *Int. J. Trend Sci. Res. Dev*, 7, 259-264.
- [16] Kreibich, N. (2024). Toward global net zero: the voluntary carbon market on its quest to find its place in the post-Paris climate regime. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 15(5), e892. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.892>
- [17] Boumaiza, A., & Maher, K. (2024). Leveraging blockchain technology to enhance transparency and efficiency in carbon trading markets. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 162, 110225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2024.110225>
- [18] Rahman, S. U., Awan, R. U., & Azam, M. (2024). Green Finance: Tackling Sustainability Challenges in Today's Economy. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Green Finance for Sustainable Development* (pp. 779-810). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-65756-6_29
- [19] Peng, S., Tan, Z. S., & Zhou, S. (2024). Greenwashing on the Regulatory Agenda: How Regulators Make Greenwashing Governable. Available at SSRN 4733765. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4733765>
- [20] Financing for Sustainable Development Office. (2024, March 1). *2024 financing for sustainable development report*. United Nations. https://financing.desa.un.org/sites/default/files/202403/2024%20FSDR_Advance%20Unedited%20Version_1%20March.pdf
- [21] Laroiya, C., Saxena, D., & Komalavalli, C. (2020). Applications of blockchain technology. In *Handbook of research on blockchain technology* (pp. 213-243). Academic press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819816-2.00009>
- [22] Tarhini, M. (2021). Application of asset tokenization, smart contracts and decentralized finance in agriculture. *Revista de Studii Financiare*, 6(10), 152-163. <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=1045924>
- [23] Bakare, F. A., Omojola, J., & Iwuh, A. C. (2024). Blockchain and decentralized finance (DEFI): Disrupting traditional banking and financial systems. *World J. Adv. Res. Rev*, 23(3), 3075-3089. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.3.2968>
- [24] Patwardhan, I., Mane, S., & Patel, N. (2024). Technical Insights on Blockchain's Role in Financial Systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.12131*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.12131>
- [25] Udeh, E. O., Amajuoyi, P., Adeusi, K. B., & Scott, A. O. (2024). The role of Blockchain technology in enhancing transparency and trust in green finance markets. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 6(6), 825-850. <https://doi.org/10.51594/farj.v6i6.1181>
- [26] Maleki, H. (2023). Leveraging Blockchain Technology in Green Finance. urn:nbn:se:kth:diva-329081
- [27] Sunyaev, A., Kannengießner, N., Beck, R., Treiblmaier, H., Lacity, M., Kranz, J., ... & Luckow, A. (2021). Token economy. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 63(4), 457-478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-021-00684-1>

- [28] Almadadha, R. (2024). Blockchain technology in financial accounting: enhancing transparency, security, and esg reporting. *Blockchains*, 2(3), 312-333. <https://doi.org/10.3390/blockchains2030015>
- [29] Marengo, A., & Pagano, A. (2023). Investigating the factors influencing the adoption of blockchain technology across different countries and industries: a systematic literature review. *Electronics*, 12(14), 3006. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12143006>
- [30] Ariyibi, K. O., Bello, O. F., Adediran, O. F., & Paul, A. (2024). The application of blockchain technology to improve tax compliance and ensure transparency in global transactions. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijjsra.2024.13.2.2286>
- [31] Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., Suman, R., & Khan, S. (2022). A review of Blockchain Technology applications for financial services. *BenchCouncil Transactions on Benchmarks, Standards and Evaluations*, 2(3), 100073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tbench.2022.100073>
- [32] Zhang, X., Aranguiz, M., Xu, D., Zhang, X., & Xu, X. (2018). Utilizing blockchain for better enforcement of green finance law and regulations. In *Transforming climate finance and green investment with blockchains* (pp. 289-301). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814447-3.00021-5>
- [33] Prewett, K. W., Prescott, G. L., & Phillips, K. (2020). Blockchain adoption is inevitable—Barriers and risks remain. *Journal of Corporate accounting & finance*, 31(2), 21-28. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcaf.22415>
- [34] Sadiq, M. N., Ahmad, A., Baig, U., & Raza, M. (2023). Islamic Fintech Ecosystem Development in Pakistan: A Meta Analysis. *Journal of Asian Development Studies*, 12(4), 832-857. <https://doi.org/10.62345/>
- [35] Bhattacharya, S., & Sachdev, B. K. (2024). Regulatory Challenges and Opportunities for Future Fintech and Green Finance. *Blockchain's Transformative Potential of Financial Technology for Sustainable Futures*, 103-122. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-70219-8_7
- [36] Levitt, H. M., Bamberg, M., Creswell, J. W., Frost, D. M., Josselson, R., & Suárez-Orozco, C. (2018). Journal article reporting standards for qualitative primary, qualitative meta-analytic, and mixed methods research in psychology: The APA Publications and Communications Board task force report. *American Psychologist*, 73(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000151>
- [37] OECD (2021), *OECD Economic Outlook, Volume 2021 Issue 1*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/edfbca02-en>.
- [38] Kahpi, H. S., Wulandari, S. S., Atichasari, A. S., & Marfu, A. (2024). Analysis of the relationship between innovative leadership and market orientation on the sustainability of technopreneurship: the mediating role of external regulatory compliance: an empirical evidence in Indonesia. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 1-42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-05072-9>



[39] Máchová, R., & Lnenicka, M. (2017). Evaluating the quality of open data portals on the national level. *Journal of theoretical and applied electronic commerce research*, 12(1), 21-41. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-18762017000100003>

[40] Al-Murisi, Y. M., Ibrahim, A. H., & Corr, O. (2025). *Navigating Ethical and Strategic Challenges in Public-Private Partnerships: Balancing Public Welfare and Private Profit*. JIAN, 9(1), 67-74. <https://ojs.ejournalunigoro.com/index.php/JIAN/article/download/1165/774>

[41] Saleh, H. G. M., Hamza, A., & Touray, K. (2024). *Factors Affecting Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Growth in the United States*. JIAN, 8(2), 1-13. <https://ojs.ejournalunigoro.com/index.php/JIAN/article/view/869>